

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,633	03/16/2004	Robert G. Moores JR.	0275D-214COC	2966
27572 75	590 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, D	OICKEY & PIERCE, I	P.L.C.	KALAFUT,	STEPHEN J
P.O. BOX 828				
BLOOMFIELD	HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
		DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	\mathcal{I}

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/801,633	MOORES ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Stephen J. Kalafut	1745

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Obviousness-type double patenting; §112, 2nd ppg. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 59-84. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. □	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. 🔲 T	he affidavit or other evidence	e is entered. An expl	anation of the statu	us of the claims after	entry is below or attached

REQU	JEST	FOR	RECO	ONSID	ERAI	ION/O	IHER

11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for all	lowance because:
	

12.	Note the	attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	
12	Other:							

13	. L	J Othe	er:	
----	-----	--------	-----	--

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant's arguments filed 03 November 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 2

Applicants argue that Schulz *et al.*, Bunyea and Mita relate to different inventions. Schulz *et al.* are "concerned with the charging of a battery on a battery charger", while Bunyea shows a latching arrangement, but no mechanism that dissipates heat from a battery pack. Schulz *et al.* also discloses the combination of a cordless device (1) with a battery pack (7), as seen in figure 1. Bunyea also discloses, in his figure 1, the combination of a cordless device (20) and a battery pack (36). Thus, these two references clearly relate to each other, even though Bunyea does not disclose a heat dissipating mechanism. Even accepting the argument that Schulz *et al.* must be considered only for the combination of a battery pack and a charger, Bunyea also teaches that his latching arrangement is applicable to that particular combination (column 2, lines 31-36). Furthermore, Bunyea provides a reason for using his latching arrangement, that it is "simple, yet effective" (column 1, lines 25-26).

Applicants argue that Mita discloses wet-cell batteries, which would have concerns completely different from those used with cordless power tool. This is not persuasive because both types of batteries share the common problem of heat generation. This is seen, not in hindsight, but from a reading of the references themselves. According to Schulz *et al.*, "towards the end of the discharge of the accumulator in the electrical device, the accumulator temperature rises" (column 1, lines 36-38). Mita teaches, "battery efficiency decreases as temperature increases" (column 1, lines 22-23).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjk

ETTERMENT EXAMINER FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP